Master Equation Approach to Protein Folding and Kinetic Traps
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The master equation for 12-monomer lattice heteropolymers is solved numerically and the time evolution of the occupancy of the native state is determined. At low temperatures, the median folding time follows the Arrhenius law and is governed by the longest relaxation time. For good folders significant kinetic traps appear in the folding funnel, whereas for bad folders the traps also occur in non-native energy valleys. [S0031-9007(98)05877-3]

PACS numbers: 87.15.By, 87.10.+e

The key problem in protein folding is one of dynamics. Tremendous progress has been made in understanding equilibrium properties of simplified lattice models [1]. Such studies have demonstrated the requirement of thermodynamic stability [1,2], stability against mutations [3], and a linkage between rapid folding and stability of the native state (NAT) [2]. Monte Carlo studies of folding have been helpful in elucidating the folding funnel [4,5] and meaningful relationships with experiment are being established [6]. So far, the approaches to studies of the folding dynamics have been restricted to Monte Carlo simulations that start from a few randomly chosen initial conformations [7] and the enumeration of transition rates between classes of conformations which have the same number of contacts and are a given number of kinetic steps away from the NAT [8]. The approximations involved in these approaches remain necessarily untested.

In this Letter, we present an exact method to study the dynamics of short model proteins based on the master equation [9]. To illustrate the method, we present results for sequences made of 12 monomers and placed on a square lattice. We focus on two sequences, A and B, which have good and bad folding properties, respectively. We find that the dynamics of A and B are superficially similar: for both, the median folding time, $t_{\text{fold}}$, and the longest relaxation time, $\tau$, diverge at low $T$ according to an Arrhenius law. However, what distinguishes the two cases is the location of the folding transition temperature, $T_f$, with respect to the temperature, $T_{\text{min}}$, at which folding to the native state proceeds the fastest. $T_f$ is defined as the temperature at which the equilibrium value of the probability to occupy the NAT, $P_0$, crosses $\frac{1}{2}$ and is a measure of thermodynamic stability. For bad folders, $T_f$ is well below $T_{\text{min}}$ and thus a substantial occupation probability for the NAT is found only in a temperature range in which the dynamics are glassy.

A deeper understanding of the differences between A and B is obtained by the identification of kinetic traps through an analysis of the eigenvectors corresponding to the longest relaxation time. The most potent kinetic trap for sequence A is within the folding funnel and is a few steps away from the NAT. The energy needed to exit the trap determines the barrier, $\delta E$, in the Arrhenius law, $t_{\text{fold}} \sim \exp(\delta E/T)$. For the bad folder, the relevant trap forms its own energy valley and exiting it requires full unfolding. There are many ways to unfold and the effective $\delta E$ is entropy influenced—the bottleneck arises from a search process.

Method.—Consider a lattice polymer which can exist in $N$ conformations ($N = 15 037$ for 12-monomer sequences). Let $P_\alpha = P_\alpha(t)$ be the probability of finding the sequence in conformation $\alpha$ at time $t$. The master equation is

$$\frac{dP_\alpha}{dt} = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} [w(\beta \rightarrow \alpha) P_\beta - w(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) P_\alpha], \quad (1)$$

where $w_\alpha(\beta) = w(\beta \rightarrow \alpha)$ is the transition rate from conformation $\beta$ to conformation $\alpha$. We bring this into a matrix form by letting $\tilde{P} = (P_1, \ldots, P_N)$ and

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = -w_{\alpha\beta} \leq 0 \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta, \quad h_{\alpha\alpha} = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} w_{\beta\alpha}. \quad (2)$$

The master equation then takes the form of an imaginary-time Schrödinger equation $\partial_{\tau} \tilde{P} = -\hat{H} \tilde{P}$, where the $h_{\alpha\beta}$ are the matrix elements of $\hat{H}$. While this reformulation is standard [10], it has regained interest recently because $\hat{H}$ can often be related to integrable quantum systems [11].

It is well known [10] that the conditions (2) are necessary and sufficient for a matrix $(\hat{H})_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta}$ to give rise to a stochastic Markov process. In particular, it follows that if initially $0 \leq P_\alpha \leq 1$ for all conformations, this will hold true at all subsequent times. Time-dependent averages for any observable $X$ are found from

$$\langle X \rangle(t) = \langle s | \hat{X} e^{-\hat{H}t} | P_\in \rangle, \quad (3)$$
where $\hat{X}$ is the matrix representation of $X$, $\{s\} = (1, \ldots, 1)$ is the left steady state of $\hat{H}$ with $\{s\} \hat{H} = 0$ and $|P_{in}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}(0) |\alpha\rangle$ is the initial state. The spectrum of relaxation times $\tau_\alpha = 1/Re E_\alpha \geq 0$ follows directly from the eigenvalues $E_\alpha$ of $\hat{H}$.

An important special case arises if the right steady state $|s\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}^{eq} |\alpha\rangle$ is related to a Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ through $P_{\alpha}^{eq} = e^{-\hat{H} \omega}/\sum_{\alpha} e^{-\hat{H} \omega}$. This happens provided the detailed balance condition

$$w_{\alpha \beta} P_{\alpha}^{eq} = w_{\beta \alpha} P_{\beta}^{eq}$$

is satisfied and then $P_{\alpha}^{eq}$ is indeed a steady-state solution of the master equation. Equation (4) is satisfied by $w_{\alpha \beta} = f_{\alpha \beta} \exp\left(-\left(\hat{H}_\alpha - \hat{H}_\beta\right) / 2T\right)$.

Finally, the dotted line corresponds to the initial state

$\ldots$
identifying the local energy minima which have the largest weights at low \( T \). The largest weight is associated with the NAT whereas the second largest corresponds to the most relevant trap. In the limit of \( T \to 0 \), weights associated with all other states become insignificant.

Figure 1 shows that the equilibrium value of \( P_0 \) is reached in essentially the same time, independent of the initial state because the long time dynamics is determined by just one mode with a relaxation time \( t_1 \). The time, \( t_{1/2} \), needed to reach, say, half of the equilibrium value, does depend on the initial state—it is significantly longer for the trap state.

The inset in Fig. 2 shows the NAT and trap conformations. The latter is 2.5404 energy units above the NAT. The overall least costly path (energetically) between the trap and the NAT involves at least ten steps and requires an increase of 4.5323 above the trap energy. The most costly step in this trajectory requires an energy of 2.8823 to move monomer 12 away from monomers 5 and 7.

Figure 2 summarizes results obtained from the master equation, when the initial state is of uniform occupancy and compares them to the median folding time obtained through Monte Carlo simulations which satisfy detailed balance conditions along the lines described in Ref. [8]. The low temperature behavior of \( t_{\text{fold}} \) follows the Arrhenius law, and \( \delta E \) is close to the energy needed to exit the kinetic trap. In this region, \( t_{\text{fold}} \) is proportional to \( \tau_1 \). This longest relaxation time essentially coincides with \( t_{1/2} \) when the kinetic trap is the initial state.

The Arrhenius behavior sets in fairly close to \( T_{\text{min}} \) where \( t_{\text{fold}} \) displays a minimum. On the high temperature side of \( T_{\text{min}} \), the characteristic times related to the approach to equilibrium no longer have any relationship to \( t_{\text{fold}} \) and the values of \( P_0 \) are small (0.064 at \( T = 1.2 \)). The physical situation changes now: reaching the NAT now is controlled by fluctuations in equilibrium and is governed by the statistics of rare events.

Figure 3 summarizes the dynamical data for sequence \( B \) for which \( T_f \) is substantially below \( T_{\text{min}} \) and signifies bad folding properties. The NAT for \( B \) is not maximally compact and is doubly degenerate as shown in the inset in Fig. 3. The two states differ merely by placement of one monomer and, when studying folding, are considered as an effective single state. The overall shape of the temperature dependence of \( t_{\text{fold}} \) is similar to that for sequence \( A \) and the low \( T \) Arrhenius law is also obeyed. The kinetic trap state, also shown in Fig. 3, is very close in shape to the NAT and it differs from the NAT only by one contact. This state, however, is very remote kinetically: all trajectories which lead from the trap to the NAT must go through an unfolded state and take at least 31 steps with the biggest single step energy increase of 2.7478. This trap is not in the folding funnel of the NAT—the energy landscape is thus very rugged. The \( \delta E \) of the Arrhenius law is close to 3.55 and is expected to have a substantial entropy contribution at nonzero temperatures due to many possible choices of the trajectories.
The method presented in this Letter offers ways of studying kinetic traps systematically. In particular, existing truncation techniques for the diagonalization of large matrices might be fruitfully employed in extending the method to longer protein chains.
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